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#### Abstract

Higher dimensional analogues of the modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are closely related to hyperbolic reflection groups and Coxeter polyhedra with big symmetry groups. In this context, we develop a theory and dissection properties of ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedra, which is of independent interest. As an application, we can identify the covolumes of the quaternionic modular groups with certain explicit rational multiples of the Riemann zeta value $\zeta$ (3).


## 1 Introduction

First and eminent prototypes of arithmetic groups are the modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the Eisenstein modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$, where $\omega=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{3})$ is a primitive third root of unity, and the Hamilton modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[i, j])$. They act by orientation preserving isometries on real hyperbolic $n$-space for $n=2,3$, and 4, respectively, and they are isomorphic to finite index subgroups of discrete hyperbolic reflections groups (see [11, 13, 21]). In this way, their arithmetic, combinatorial, and geometric structure can be characterised by means of finite volume hyperbolic Coxeter polyhedra of particularly nice shape. Best known is the geometry of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, which is related to the Coxeter group with Coxeter symbol $[3, \infty]$ and an ideal hyperbolic triangle of angle $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and area $\pi$. The group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega]) \subset \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a Bianchi group and isomorphic to a subgroup of index 4 in the hyperbolic Coxeter simplex group with Coxeter symbol $[3,3,6]$. In this way, the volume of a fundamental domain, or the covolume of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$, can be expressed by means of Humbert's volume formula for imaginary quadratic number fields [6] as well as by means of Lobachevsky's volume formula (see [27, part I, Chapter 7] and [22], for example) according to

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{3}(\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega]))=\frac{3^{3 / 2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}(2)=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) .
$$

Here, $\zeta_{k}(s)$ denotes the Dedekind zeta function of the algebraic number field $k$, and $\Pi_{2}(x)$ is Lobachevsky's function (see Section 2.4).

[^0]The aim of this work is twofold. First, we study the geometry of the higher dimensional modular and pseudo-modular groups of $2 \times 2$ matrices whose coefficients form a basic system of algebraic integers in an associative normed real division algebra. In this way, they act by fractional linear transformations on the boundary $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup\{\infty\}$ and-by Poincaré extension-as hyperbolic isometries in the upper half space $U^{n}$. Since the octonion multiplication is no longer associative, such groups can be realised by means of quaternionic matrices with unit Dieudonné determinant $\Delta$ and by certain Clifford matrices for $n \leq 5$ only. Of particular interest is the quaternionic modular group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H y b})$ with coefficients in the ring of hybrid integers $\mathbb{H y b}=\mathbb{Z}[\omega, j]$. This group is closely related to the Coxeter pyramid group with Coxeter symbol $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ whose explicit covolume computation, however, is very difficult.

In this context, we discovered a beautiful, highly symmetric, hyperbolic polyhedron, the ideal birectified 6-cell $r_{2} S_{\text {reg }}$, which can be interpreted as a 5 -dimensional analogue of the ideal regular tetrahedron $S_{\text {reg }}$ (see Example 2.3). Based on this, and as our second and main achievement, we introduce and develop the theory of ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedra $r_{k} P$ in hyperbolic $n$-space viewed in the projective model of Klein-Beltrami. Such a polyhedron is uniform; that is, its symmetry group acts transitively on the set of its vertices. For the important families of regular simplices $P=S_{\text {reg }}$ and regular orthoplexes (or cross-polytopes) $P=O_{\text {reg }}$, we derive explicit dissection relations by means of certain truncated characteristic simplices $\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ and $\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ characterised by a dihedral angle $\alpha_{k}^{n}$ depending on the dimension $n$ and on the rectification degree $k$ (for notation and the construction, see Section 3.2). Denote by $\delta_{i k} \in\{0,1\}$ the Kronecker-Delta function defined for elements $i, k$ in an index set $I$. Then one of our main results can be stated as follows (see Theorem 3.6).

Theorem 1.1 Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ be integers. For a regular polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ with Schläfli symbol $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$, the ideal $k$-rectified regular $n$-polyhedron $\widehat{P}=r_{k} P \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$ admits the following dissections.
(i) If $P$ is a simplex $S_{\text {reg }}$ with $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{n-1}=3$, then $r_{k} S_{\text {reg }}$ admits for $0 \leq i \leq n$ a dissection into $i!(n+1-i)!$ of $\left(2-\delta_{0 i}\right)$-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, and each of these splits into $\binom{n+1}{i}$ simply-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{U}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}^{n}=\arccos \sqrt{\frac{n-k}{2(n-k-1)}}$.
(ii) If $P$ is an orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}$ with $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{n-2}=3$ and $p_{n-1}=4$, then $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ admits for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ a dissection into $2^{n} i!(n-i)!$ of $\left(2-\delta_{0 i}\right)$-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, and each of these splits into $\binom{n}{i}$ simply-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{V}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}^{n}=\arccos \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k-1}}$.

Our proof is based on Debrunner's Theorem [4] and the theory of Napier cycles as introduced by Im Hof [9].

As a consequence, the ideal birectified 6-cell $r_{2} S_{\text {reg }}$ admits a dissection into 6! isometric copies of the Coxeter prism [ $6,3,3,3,3,6$ ], which in turn is part of a crystallographic Napier cycle (see Section 2.3). This relationship allows us to determine the volume of $r_{2} S_{\text {reg }}$ and the covolume of $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H y b})$ as follows (see Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 1.2 For the hybrid modular group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})=P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega, j])$,

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{5}\left(P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})\right)=\frac{13}{180} \zeta(3) .
$$

As a curious by-product, we obtain the following and seemingly new expression for $\zeta(3)$ by combining the two different volume representations for the Coxeter polyhedron $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ (see Section 2, (2.11)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(3) & =\frac{360}{13}\left[\frac{\pi}{4} \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+\int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\{\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\omega(t)\right)+\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\omega(t)\right)\right\} d t\right] \text { where } \\
\cos \omega(t) & =\frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{4 \sin ^{2} t-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This work is organised as follows. In Section 2, we provide the basic concepts about hyperbolic polyhedra and Coxeter orthoschemes. We discuss Napier cycles and present some distinguished examples. At the end of the section, we supply the volume identities that will play a crucial role. In Section 3, we present Debrunner's classical dissection result for regular simplices and orthoplexes in a standard geometric space. Then we develop the theory of ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedra and provide an interpretation by (polarly) truncated polyhedra. Our key dissection result as given by Theorem 1.1 can then be established. In the last part, in Section 4, we exploit the relation between certain quaternionic (pseudo)-modular groups and arithmetic hyperbolic Coxeter groups as described by Johnson [11]. By combining various of our results and applying them to the ideal birectified 6 -cell, we will be able to establish our second main result as stated in Theorem 1.2. The work ends with the Remark 4.2 about the incommensurability of the modular 5-orbifolds given by $\mathbb{H}^{5} / P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})$ and $\mathbb{H}^{5} / P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})$. In fact, there is no (orientable) hyperbolic 5-manifold that is a finite cover of both orbifolds.

## 2 Napier Cycles and Volumes in Hyperbolic 5-space

In this section, we present the necessary background about hyperbolic polyhedra and their description as fundamental polyhedra for discrete hyperbolic reflection groups. Of particular interest are regular polyhedra and their characteristic simplices. The truncation by (polar) hyperplanes will lead us to the notion of Napier cycles. Finally, some related volume formulas will be presented that will form a key ingredient in the proofs of our main results.

### 2.1 Hyperbolic Polyhedra and Coxeter Orthoschemes

Denote by $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ either the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n}$, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, or the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n}$, together with its isometry group $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{X}^{n}\right)$. In the sequel, we will focus on the hyperbolic case assuming that the corresponding classical concepts in the euclideanaffine and spherical cases are well known.

The hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ can be viewed in different ways, for example, by means of the Poincaré models in the upper half space $U^{n}$ and in the unit ball $B^{n}$ of $\mathbb{E}^{n}$, as well
as the projective unit ball model $K^{n}$ of Klein-Beltrami, closely related to the vector space model $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ in the space $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ of Lorentz-Minkowski.

For the description of polyhedral objects, the vector space model $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ (and its projective counterpart $K^{n}$ ) of hyperbolic space is most convenient (see [26, Chapter I], for example). More concretely, let $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ be defined in the quadratic space $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}=$ $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1},\langle x, y\rangle_{n, 1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-x_{n+1} y_{n+1}\right)$ of signature $(n, 1)$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1} \mid\langle x, x\rangle_{n, 1}=-1, x_{n+1}>0\right\},
$$

with distance function $d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)=\operatorname{arcosh}\left(-\langle x, y\rangle_{n, 1}\right)$. A non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ is time-like, light-like, or space-like, if its square Lorentzian norm is negative, zero, or positive, respectively. The boundary $\partial \mathcal{H}^{n}$ can be identified with the set of light-like vectors $x \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1} \cap \mathbb{S}^{n}$ on the unit sphere such that $x_{n+1}>0$. Furthermore, for an integer $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, a hyperbolic $k$-plane in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ is the non-empty intersection of a $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\mathcal{H}^{n}$. Of importance will be the fact that the Lorentz-orthogonal complement of a hyperbolic hyperplane is generated by a spacelike vector. Let us add that the group $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{H}^{n}\right)$ coincides with the group $P O(n, 1)$ of positive Lorentzian matrices. For more details, see [23, Chapter 3] and [26].

Now, any discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{H}^{n}\right)$ has a convex fundamental domain in $\mathscr{H}^{n}$ whose closure can be assumed to be polyhedral. Of particular interest will be discrete groups generated by finitely many reflections in hyperplanes of $\mathscr{H}^{n}$. For their description, we rely on the combinatorics and geometry of their fundamental polyhedra.

To this end, represent a hyperplane $H \subset \mathcal{H}^{n}$ by a unit normal vector, that is, by a space-like vector $e \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ of Lorentzian norm 1 such that

$$
H=\left\{x \in \mathcal{H}^{n} \mid\langle x, e\rangle_{n, 1}=0\right\},
$$

and which bounds two closed half-spaces, for example,

$$
H^{-}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{H}^{n} \mid\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle_{n, 1} \leq 0\right\} .
$$

A (convex, closed, and indecomposable) polyhedron $P \subset \mathcal{H}^{n}$ is of the form

$$
P=\bigcap_{i \in I} H_{i}^{-},
$$

where the index set $I$ is always supposed to be finite. A polyhedron $P$ is compact (or of finite volume) if $P$ is the convex hull of finitely many points of $\mathcal{H}^{n}$, called the vertices of $P$ (or of $\mathcal{H}^{n} \cup \partial \mathcal{H}^{n}$ ). The polyhedron $P$ is ideal if all vertices belong to $\partial \mathcal{H}^{n}$. In the sequel, we will consider acute-angled polyhedra, that is, polyhedra with (interior) dihedral angles $\measuredangle\left(H_{i}, H_{j}\right) \leq \pi / 2$ for $i, j \in I$. Consider the Gram matrix $G(P)$ of $P$ formed by the products $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{n, 1}, i, j \in I$. It is known that an indecomposable real symmetric $N \times N$ matrix $A$ with diagonal elements $[A]_{i i}=1$ and nondiagonal elements $[A]_{i j} \leq 0, i \neq j$, is the Gram matrix $G(P)$ of an acute-angled polyhedron $P \subset \mathcal{H}^{n}$ (uniquely determined up an isometry) if and only if the signature of $A$ equals ( $n, 1$ ). Similar results for acute-angled polyhedra in $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ are well known (see [27, Part I, Chapter 6]). Furthermore, many of the combinatorial, metrical, and arithmetic properties of $P$ can be read off from $G(P)$. In particular, for $i \neq j$,
the coefficients $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{n, 1}$ characterise the mutual position of the hyperplanes $H_{i}, H_{j}$ as follows:

$$
-\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{n, 1}= \begin{cases}\cos \alpha_{i j} & \text { if } H_{i}, H_{j} \text { intersect at the angle } \alpha_{i j} \text { in } \mathcal{H}^{n},  \tag{2.1}\\ 1 & \text { if } H_{i}, H_{j} \text { meet at } \partial \mathcal{H}^{n}, \\ \cosh l_{i j} & \text { if } H_{i}, H_{j} \text { are at distance } l_{i j} \text { in } \mathcal{H}^{n} .\end{cases}
$$

For more details, see Vinberg's seminal work [26], [27, Part I, Chapter 6].
In case of many orthogonal bounding hyperplanes and small $|I|$, it is convenient to represent a given polyhedron $P \subset \mathcal{H}^{n}$ in terms of a (weighted) graph $\Sigma=\Sigma(P)$ of order $|I|$. With each bounding hyperplane $H$ with normal vector $e \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ directed outwards with respect to $P$, we associate a node $v$ in $\Sigma$. Two different nodes $v_{i}, v_{j}$ are connected by an edge with a weight $c_{i j}$ if the hyperplanes $H_{i}, H_{j}$ are not orthogonal in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$. The weight $c_{i j}$ is given by $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{n, 1}$. However, if $-1<c_{i j}<0$, then $c_{i j}=-\cos \alpha_{i j}$, and we replace $c_{i j}$ by $\alpha_{i j}$. An edge with weight -1 will be decorated by the symbol $\infty$. Edges with weights $\left|c_{i j}\right|>1$ are replaced by a dashed edge, and the weights are omitted in most cases.

More specifically, if $P$ is a Coxeter polyhedron having by definition dihedral angles of the form $\alpha_{i j}=\pi / m_{i j}$ for integers $m_{i j} \geq 2$, only, the corresponding weights traditionally carry only the label $m_{i j}>3$. Hence, simple edges indicate an intersection angle equal to $\pi / 3$, and nodes not connected by an edge symbolise orthogonal hyperplanes. In order to depict a Coxeter graph in an abbreviated way, we often use the Coxeter symbol. In particular, $\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right]$ or $\left[q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l}, \infty\right]$ with integer labels $p_{i}, q_{j} \geq 3$ are associated with linear Coxeter graphs with $k+1$ or $l+2$ edges marked by the respective weights. The Coxeter symbol $\left[(p, q)^{[r]}\right]$ describes a group with cyclic Coxeter graph consisting of $r \geq 2$ consecutive Coxeter graphs [ $p, q$ ] (see [12, Appendix], for example). In the sequel, we often represent a Coxeter polyhedron by quoting its Coxeter symbol.

Recall that the reflections with respect to the bounding hyperplanes of a Coxeter polyhedron $P \subset \mathcal{H}^{n}$ generate a discrete group $\Gamma_{P} \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{H}^{n}\right)$ that is called a $h y$ perbolic Coxeter group. The group $\Gamma_{P}$ is denoted by the Coxeter graph and Coxeter symbol of $P$, and we do not distinguish between Coxeter polyhedron and reflection group. Notice that in contrast to the hyperbolic ones, the irreducible spherical (finite) and euclidean (or affine) Coxeter groups are completely classified. For a list, see [8, Chapter 2] or [27, Chapter 5].

A polyhedron $R \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ with linear graph $\Sigma_{n}=\Sigma_{n}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ of order $n+1=|I| \geq 2$ given by Figure 1:


Figure 1: The graph of an $n$-orthoscheme $R \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$.
is a geometric $n$-simplex whose bounding hyperplanes are indexed by $H_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n$, in such a way that $H_{i} \perp H_{j}$ for $|i-j|>1$. The polyhedron $R$ is parametrised by the dihedral angles $\alpha_{i}=\measuredangle\left(H_{i-1}, H_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$. These simplices are called orthoschemes
and were introduced by L. Schläfli [25] in the spherical case. They play an important role when studying polyhedra with high degree of symmetry and provide the characteristic simplices in the barycentric decomposition of regular polyhedra in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ (see Section 3.1, [3, Section 7.9], and [27, Part II, Chapter 5]).

Consider the special case of a graph $\Sigma_{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ as given in Figure 2, where the parameters $\alpha, \beta \in[0, \pi / 2)$ are such that the graph $\Sigma_{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ relates to a polyhedron $R(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ (possibly of infinite volume).

$$
\Sigma_{n}(\alpha, \beta): \bullet \alpha \bullet \beta \bullet \bullet \cdots \bullet \bullet
$$

Figure 2: Graphs related to certain characteristic $n$-orthoschemes.

- The graph $\Sigma_{n}\left(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ describes the characteristic (or barycentric) simplex of a finite volume regular $n$-simplex $S_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ with dihedral angle $2 \alpha$, which is spherical, euclidean, or hyperbolic if $-1<\cos (2 \alpha)<\frac{1}{n}, \cos (2 \alpha)=\frac{1}{n}$, or $\frac{1}{n}<\cos (2 \alpha) \leq \frac{1}{n-1}$, respectively (see [17], for example). Indeed, by barycentric decomposition from its in-center, $S_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha)$ can be decomposed into $(n+1)$ ! isometric copies of $R\left(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$. Observe that finite volume regular simplices tesselating hyperbolic space $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ exist only for $n=2,3$, and 4 (see [27, Part II, Chapter 5, Section 3]). A particular role is played by the non-compact, finite volume orthoscheme $R\left(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ with Coxeter symbol $[6,3,3]$, which is the characteristic simplex of an ideal regular hyperbolic tetrahedron or 4-cell $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}^{3}$.
- The graph $\Sigma_{n}\left(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$ arises with respect to the barycentric decomposition into $2^{n} n!$ isometric copies of the characteristic simplex of an $n$-dimensional regular crosspolytope or $n$-orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha)$ (in the terminology of J. Conway) with dihedral angle $2 \alpha$, which is hyperbolic of finite volume if $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}<\cos \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}$. In particular, the Coxeter orthoscheme $[4,4,3]$ is related to an ideal regular octahedron $O_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}^{3}$. The graph $\Sigma_{n}\left(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$ (read from right to left in Figure 2) describes the dual polyhedron of $O_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha)$, that is, a regular $n$-cube $T_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ with dihedral angle $2 \alpha$. Of course, the polyhedron $T_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha)$ exists with finite volume in $\mathscr{H}^{n}$ under the identical angle constraint.


### 2.2 Napier Cycles

An $n$-orthoscheme $R \subset \mathcal{H}^{n}$ as given by the graph in Figure 1 is characterised by $n+1$ outer (unit) normal vectors $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n} \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$, forming a basis of $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ and satisfying $-1<\left\langle e_{i-1}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{n, 1}<0$ and $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{n, 1}=0$ for $i \neq j$. In this respect, an orthoscheme is a part of and generates a so-called Napier cycle as introduced by Im Hof [9].

Definition 2.1 A Napier cycle in $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ is a set $\mathcal{N}=\left\{e_{i} \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} /(n+3)\right\}$ of $n+3$ vectors subject to the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{i}:=\left\langle e_{i-1}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{n, 1}<0 \quad \text { for all } i,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{n, 1}=0 \quad \text { for } j \neq i-1, i, i+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Any $n+1$ consecutive vectors in a Napier cycle $\mathcal{N}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$. Furthermore, the deletion of two non-adjacent vectors from $\mathcal{N}$ defines two Lorentz-orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$. Either these subspaces are both light-like, or one of them is space-like, while the other one is time-like (or negative). A Napier cycle $\mathcal{N}$ can be of three different types. Either all vectors of $\mathcal{N}$ are space-like, or exactly one vector is not space-like, or exactly two vectors are not space-like. For $n \geq 4$, the vectors in $\mathcal{N}$ admit a numbering and can be normalised in such a way that, for $0 \leq i \leq n,\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{n, 1}=1$ with $-1<c_{i}=\left\langle e_{i-1}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{n, 1}<0$. Consider such a normalised Napier cycle $\mathcal{N}$ with given negative numbers $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$. The set $\mathcal{N}$ is of type $d$ if it contains precisely $n+d$ vectors of positive Lorentzian norm, equal to 1 , say, whose non-vanishing Lorentzian products $c_{i}$ admit the interpretation according to (2.1). Moreover, the respective additional products $c_{n+1}=\left\langle e_{n}, e_{n+1}\right\rangle_{n, 1}, c_{n+2}=\left\langle e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}\right\rangle_{n, 1}$ and $c_{0}=\left\langle e_{n+2}, e_{0}\right\rangle_{n, 1}$ can be easily computed by means of a suitable Gram determinant calculation in terms of the values $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$. In fact, let $\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ be the determinant of the Gram matrix

$$
G\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & c_{1} & & & \\
c_{1} & 1 & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 & c_{n} \\
& & & c_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

of the vectors $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}$, which satisfies nice recursion formulas. In particular, one easily verifies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=\frac{n+1}{2^{n}}, \quad \delta\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \quad \text { if all } \quad c_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same spirit, by [9, Proposition 1.6] (or [25, Section 27]), one can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0}^{2} & =\frac{\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)}{\delta\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)}, \quad c_{n+1}^{2}=\frac{\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)}{\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)},  \tag{2.4}\\
1-c_{n+2}^{2} & =\frac{\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \delta\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)}{\delta\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \delta\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, since the Lorentzian orthogonal complement of each unit space-like vector $e$ in $\mathcal{N}$ defines a hyperplane $H$ bounding two half-spaces in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$, it is not difficult to understand the polyhedral configuration provided by a Napier cycle of type $d$. In fact, type 1 Napier cycles correspond to orthoschemes in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$. Type 2 Napier cycles (with $e_{n+2}$ not space-like, say) are infinite volume orthoschemes bounded by $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n}$ and truncated by $H_{n+1}$ to yield finite volume, simply-truncated orthoschemes in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$. In a similar way, Napier cycles of type 3 are finite volume, doubly-truncated orthoschemes bounded by hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n+2}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$. They arise from infinite volume orthoschemes bounded by $n+1$ hyperbolic hyperplanes by truncation by means of the two remaining ones. For details, see [9].

### 2.3 Crystallographic Napier Cycles

Consider a Napier cycle of type $d$ in $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ and assume that it yields a ( $d-1$ )-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$. This means that for each weight $c_{i}$ with $-1<c_{i}<0$,
one has that $c_{i}=-\cos \frac{\pi}{m_{i}}$ for some integer $m_{i} \geq 3$. In [9], Im Hof completely classified these particular Coxeter polyhedra, referring to them as crystallographic Napier cycles, and showed that they exist in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ for $n \leq 9$, only. In the sequel, the following examples will be of particular interest.

Example 2.2 The Coxeter polyhedron $[6,3,3,3, \infty]$ in $\mathcal{H}^{4}$ with graph given by Figure 3 gives rise to a crystallographic Napier cycle of type 2 (here with $e_{6}$ not spacelike) and describes a simply-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme. Underlying is the infinite volume orthoscheme $R_{0}=[6,3,3,3]$ bounded by the hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{4}$ according to the graph in Figure 3. Denote by $p_{k}$ the vertices of $R_{0}$ opposite to the bounding hyperplane $H_{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq 5$. By construction, they form an orthogonal edge path $p_{0} p_{1}, \ldots, p_{4} p_{5}$ in $\mathbb{E}^{4,1}$. The polyhedron $R_{0}$ can be interpreted as the characteristic simplex (see Section 3.1) of an infinite volume regular hyperbolic 4 -simplex $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ with in-center equal to $p_{0}$ and all of whose vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{4}$ are given by space-like vectors. In particular, one vertex of $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ corresponds to $p_{4}$ whose neighborhood in $R_{0}$ is a cone over the hyperbolic Coxeter tetrahedron $[6,3,3]$. The truncating hyperplane $H_{5}$ intersects $H_{4}$ at the point $p_{3}$ on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{H}^{4}$, indicated by a circle in Figure 3. In this way, the polyhedron $[6,3,3,3, \infty]$ is a Coxeter pyramid with apex at infinity $p_{3}$ over a product of two (euclidean) Coxeter simplices with symbols [6,3] and [ $\infty$ ] (see [7], for example). In particular, all edges $\overline{v_{i} v_{j}}$ of $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ are bisected at an ideal point, denoted by $q_{i j}$, on $\partial \mathcal{H}^{4}$. The convex hull of $q_{i j}, 0 \leq i<j \leq 4$, gives rise to an ideal polyhedron of finite volume in $\partial \mathcal{H}^{4}$, with dihedral angles $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$, called the ideal rectified 5 -cell, denoted by $r_{1} S_{\text {reg }}$ (see Section 3.2).


Figure 3: The 4-dimensional finite volume analogue of $[6,3,3]$.

Example 2.3 Consider the Coxeter polyhedron $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$ given by Figure 4. It is a simply-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme which belongs to a crystallographic Napier cycle of type 2 . The Coxeter orthoscheme $R_{0}=[6,3,3,3,3]$ bounded by the hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{5}$ and with vertices $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{5}$ opposite to them is of infinite volume. The vertices $p_{4}, p_{5}$ of $R_{0}$ are given by space-like vectors described by principal submatrices of $G\left(R_{0}\right)$ of signature $(4,1)$, while the vertex $p_{3}$ is given by a light-like vector. The neighborhood of $p_{3}$ is a cone over the product of two (euclidean) Coxeter triangles with symbol $[6,3]$ as indicated by a circle in Figure 4. The polyhedron $R_{0}$ is the characteristic simplex arising in the barycentric decomposition of an infinite volume hyperbolic regular 5-simplex $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ with in-center $p_{0}$. All vertices of $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ are given by space-like vectors $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{5}$ such that they span space-like planes $\operatorname{span}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $\mathbb{E}^{5,1}$. By applying Vinberg's theory about the face complex of acute-angled hyperbolic polyhedra, the graph in Figure 4 indicates that the barycenter of each 2-face (the vertex $p_{3}$, for example) of $S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ is a point on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{H}^{5}$. Taking the convex hull of all these ideal barycenters yields a finite volume


Figure 4: The 5-dimensional finite volume analogue of $[6,3,3]$.
hyperbolic polyhedron, of dihedral angles equal to $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$, which is called the ideal birectified 6-cell and denoted by $r_{2} S_{\text {reg }} \subset \mathcal{H}^{5}$ (see Section 3.2).

Example 2.4 Similarly to Example 2.2, the Coxeter pyramid [4,4,3,3, $\infty$ in $\mathcal{H}^{4}$ associated with an infinite volume regular 4-orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ describes a simplytruncated orthoscheme that decomposes the ideal rectified 4-orthoplex $r_{1} O_{\text {reg }} \subset \mathcal{H}^{4}$ with all dihedral angles equal to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ into 384 isometric copies (see Section 3.2).

Similarly to Example 2.3, the Coxeter polyhedron [4, 4, 3, 3, 3, 6] in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$ associated with an infinite volume regular 5-orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ describes a simply-truncated orthoscheme which decomposes the ideal birectified 5-orthoplex $r_{2} O_{\text {reg }} \subset \mathcal{H}^{5}$ with dihedral angles $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{\pi}{3}$ into 3, 840 isometric copies (see Section 2.4, Remark 2.7, and Section 3.2).

Example 2.5 The Coxeter polyhedron $\left[3,4,3^{5}, 6\right]$ in $\mathcal{H}^{7}$ is a simply-truncated orthoscheme that decomposes barycentrically the ideal birectified 7-orthoplex $r_{2} O_{\text {reg }} \subset$ $\mathcal{H}^{7}$ with dihedral angles $\frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ into 645,120 isometric copies (see Section 3.2 with Corollary 3.7).

### 2.4 Some Volume Identities

Consider a normalised Napier cycle $\mathcal{N}=\left\{e_{i} \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} /(n+3)\right\}$ of type $d, 1 \leq d \leq 3$, in $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ such that $-1<c_{i}=\left\langle e_{i-1}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{n, 1}<0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. As described in Section 2.2, $\mathcal{N}$ yields a $k$-truncated orthoscheme $R_{k}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ for $k=d-1$. We are interested in finding explicit volume expressions for crystallographic Napier cycles containing the building blocks of tesselating $k$-rectified regular polyhedra in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ (see Section 3.2). By [14, 15], we dispose of closed volume formulae available for dimension $n=3$ and for even dimensions.

- For $n=3$, the volume of any $k$-truncated orthoscheme can be expressed in terms of its dihedral angles and by means of the Lobachevsky function $\Pi_{2}(x)$, which is defined as follows (see [14]):

$$
\Pi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (2 r x)}{r^{2}}=-\int_{0}^{x} \log |2 \sin t| d t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

For example, by the classical Lobachevsky formula [14, (2)], the volume of a noncompact ( 0 -truncated) orthoscheme $R \subset \mathbb{H}^{3}$ with linear graph $\Sigma_{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha, \alpha, \beta\right.$ ) (see Figure 1) is given by the expression

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{3}(R)=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{2}(\alpha)+\frac{1}{4}\left\{\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha+\beta\right)-\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha+\beta\right)\right\} .
$$

In particular, the volume of the Coxeter orthoscheme $[6,3,3]$ equals $\frac{1}{8} \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ so that $\operatorname{vol}_{3}\left(S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right)=3 \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$.

- For $n=2 m$ even, the volume of a $k$-truncated hyperbolic $n$-orthoscheme $R_{k}$ with graph $\Sigma_{k}=\Sigma\left(R_{k}\right)$ can be expressed in terms of certain real-valued modified volume functions $F_{n}$ and $f_{l}$ defined on (the set of measurable subsets of) $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{l}$, respectively, in a more elegant way. More concretely, let $f_{0}=F_{0}=1$, and define for $l=1, \ldots, n-1, n \geq 2$, the volume functions

$$
f_{l}:=v_{l} \operatorname{vol}_{l}, \quad F_{n}:=i^{n} v_{n} \operatorname{vol}_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad i^{2}=-1, \quad v_{l}=\frac{2^{l+1}}{\operatorname{vol}_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)}
$$

Then the so-called Reduction Formula as presented in [15, Section 3] allows one to express the volume of a $k$-truncated $n$-orthoscheme $R_{k}$ with graph $\Sigma_{k}$ in terms of its dihedral angles as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2 m}\left(\Sigma_{k}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r+1}\binom{2 r}{r} \sum_{\sigma} f_{2 m-(2 r+1)}(\sigma), \quad \sum_{\sigma} f_{-1}:=1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\sigma$ runs through all spherical subgraphs of order $2(m-r)$ of $\Sigma_{k}$ all of whose connected components are of even order. Observe that, for $m>r$, each such component describes a spherical orthoscheme of odd dimension $<2(m-r)$. By means of formula (2.5) for $n=2 m$ and by Schläfli's results about the order of a finite Coxeter group providing the values $f_{l}$ for $l \leq m-1$ (see [25, No. 23, p. 268 ff$]$ ), the volumes of all $k$-truncated Coxeter $n$-orthoschemes were determined in [ 15 , Appendix] (up to some minor calculation errors). In particular, for $n=4$, the simply-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme $[6,3,3,3, \infty]$ is of volume $\pi^{2} / 540$, while for $n=6$, the simply-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme $[3,4,3,3,3,3, \infty]$ is of volume $\pi^{3} / 259,200$.

In the sequel, we are particularly interested in the volume computation for those polyhedra in $\mathbb{H}^{5}$ that are related to orbit spaces by certain quaternionic modular groups. In general, it is very difficult to find a closed volume formula for a family of polyhedra of fixed combinatorial-metrical type in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$. However, there are some partial but very useful results for $k$-truncated 5-orthoschemes. For $k=0$, consider a 5-orthoscheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq 5} H_{i}^{-} \quad \text { such that } \quad \cos ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \gamma=1, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is defined by the graph in Figure 5.


Figure 5: The orthoscheme $R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{H}^{5}$ with $\cos ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \gamma=1$.
The angle condition in (2.6) ensures that the vertices $p_{0}$ and $p_{5}$ of $R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ opposite to the bounding hyperplanes $H_{0}$ and $H_{5}$ are ideal points. In [16, Theorem, p. 659], we obtained the following volume formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vol}_{5}(R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))= & \frac{1}{4}\left\{\Pi_{3}(\alpha)+\Pi_{3}(\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\gamma\right)\right\}  \tag{2.7}\\
& -\frac{1}{16}\left\{\Pi_{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha+\beta\right)+\Pi_{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha+\beta\right)\right\}+\frac{3}{64} \zeta(3) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the Lobachevsky function of order three $\Pi_{3}(\omega), \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, is related to the classical trilogarithm function $\operatorname{Li}_{3}(z)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{r}}{r^{3}},|z| \leq 1$, satisfying $\operatorname{Li}_{3}(1)=\zeta(3)$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{3}(x)=\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{R}\left(\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(e^{2 i x}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (2 r x)}{r^{3}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\Pi_{3}(x)$ is even, $\pi$-periodic, and fulfils the distribution law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m^{2}} \Pi_{3}(m x)=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \Pi_{3}\left(x+\frac{r \pi}{m}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows one to identify some special values of $\Pi_{3}(x)$ with certain rational multiples of $\zeta(3)$ (see [16, Section 2.3]).

As a consequence of the identities (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9), the Coxeter orthoscheme $R\left(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$ has volume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}_{5}([3,4,3,3,4])=\frac{7}{4,608} \zeta(3) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the volume computation for the simply-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme [6, 3, 3, 3, 3, 6] in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$ discussed in Example 2.3 cannot be performed in an exact manner by exploiting results in the spirit of (2.7). Nevertheless, based on Schläfli's volume differential formula (see [18, Section 2.1], for example), its volume can be represented by a single integral as follows. Denote by $R(\omega) \subset \mathcal{H}^{3}$ a non-compact orthoscheme with dihedral angles $\omega, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{6}$ (see Figure 1). As a function of $\omega$, its volume is given by the classical Lobachevsky formula [14, (2)] according to

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{3}(R(\omega))=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left\{\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\omega\right)+\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\omega\right)\right\} .
$$

With these preparations, the volume of $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vol}_{5}( & {[6,3,3,3,3,6]) }  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left[\int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \operatorname{vol}_{3}(R(\omega(t))) d t-\frac{1}{48} \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{\pi}{4} \Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+\int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\{\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\omega(t)\right)+\Pi_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\omega(t)\right)\right\} d t\right] \\
& \simeq 0.0027129757, \quad \text { where } \cos \omega(t)=\frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{4 \sin ^{2} t-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.6 By a structural result of Prasad (see [5, Proposition 2.1 (1)], for example), the volume of the non-compact arithmetic orbifold defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ as given by the orbit space of $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ is a rational multiple of $\zeta(3)$. Now, numerical evidence suggests that the value (2.11) for the volume of $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ is equal to $\frac{13}{5,760} \zeta(3)$. By means of a combinatorial-metrical argument, we will prove rigorously the conjectural identity $\operatorname{vol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,3,6]) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{13}{5,760} \zeta(3)$ (see Theorem 4.1).

Remark 2.7 In a similar way, the volume of the simply-truncated Coxeter orthoscheme $[6,3,3,3,4,4]$ in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$ can be identified as follows:

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,4,4])=\frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{3 \pi}{8} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+\int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\{\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\omega(t)\right)+\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\omega(t)\right)\right\} d t\right] .
$$

In [24], Ratcliffe and Tschantz determined-among other things-the covolume of the group of units of the quadratic form $f_{3}^{n}(x)=x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}-3 x_{n+1}^{2}$, which is known to contain a (maximal) reflection subgroup $\Gamma$ of finite index for $n \leq 13$. In the case of $n=5$, the Coxeter group $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{H}^{5}\right)$ is given by $[6,3,3,3,4,4]$. Their volume computation yields the precise expression

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,4,4])=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{320} L(3,12) \simeq 0.0053587488
$$

where $L(s, D)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{D}{r}\right) \frac{1}{r^{s}}$ denotes the Dirichlet $L$-series with Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{D}{r}\right)$ (see [24, Table 1] and compare with [5, Proposition 2.1 (2)]). Notice that the two arithmetic hyperbolic Coxeter groups $[6,3,3,3,4,4]$ and $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ have noncompact fundamental polyhedra of identical combinatorial type being pyramids over a product of two simplices. If the two groups were commensurable, the volumes would be necessarily $\mathbb{Q}$-proportional. However, in [7], we proved that the groups are incommensurable.

We finish the volume considerations by quoting and applying the following result for doubly-truncated 5-orthoschemes as proved in [18, (24)].


Figure 6: A doubly-truncated 5-orthoscheme with cyclic graph $\Omega(\alpha)$.
Proposition 2.8 Consider a doubly-truncated orthoscheme in $\mathcal{H}^{5}$ with cyclic graph $\Omega(\alpha)$ of order 8 as given in Figure 6. Then its volume equals

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{5}(\Omega(\alpha))=\frac{1}{32} \zeta(3)-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\Pi_{3}(\alpha)+\Pi_{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha\right)\right\} .
$$

Example 2.9 By the above proposition together with the identity (2.9), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}_{5}\left(\left[(3,6)^{[4]}\right]\right)=\frac{13}{288} \zeta(3)=20 \cdot \frac{13}{5,760} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing (2.12) with the result of Remark 2.6, we deduce the following conjectural volume identity between $\Omega\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)=\left[(3,6)^{[4]}\right]$ and $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}_{5}\left(\left[(3,6)^{[4]}\right]\right) \stackrel{?}{=}\binom{6}{3} \cdot \operatorname{vol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,3,6]) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This volume identity will be a direct consequence of a dissection result for an ideal birectified regular simplex in $\mathbb{H}^{5}$ (see Section 3.5, Theorem 3.6(i) for $n=5$ and $k=2$ ).

## 3 Rectifying Hyperbolic Regular Polyhedra

In this section, we present Debrunner's dissection result for regular simplices and orthoplexes by means of certain orthoschemes. Then we develop the theory of ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedra in the projective model of hyperbolic $n$-space and provide an interpretation by polarly truncated polyhedra. In this way, we can describe the two families of ideal $k$-rectified regular simplices and orthoplexes by means of Napier cycles and prove one of our main results as given by Theorem 3.6.

### 3.1 Regular Polyhedra in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ and Debrunner's Result

Consider a polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ and its flags of the form $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{0}, \ldots, F_{n-1}\right\}, F_{-1}:=\varnothing$, consisting of $k$-dimensional faces $F_{k}$ of $P$ such that $F_{k-1} \subset F_{k}$ for $k=0, \ldots, n-1$. The polyhedron $P$ is regular (and denoted by $P_{\text {reg }}$ at times) if its symmetry group Sym $(P)$ acts (simply) transitively on its flags (see [27, Part II, Chapter 5, Section 3], for example). It follows that each face of $P$ is itself a regular polyhedron and that the symmetry group of $P$ has a unique fixed point, the (bary- or in-)center of $P$, denoted by $b_{n}$. The point $b_{n}$ is the center of the diverse in- and circumspheres attached to $P$. Fix a flag $\mathcal{F}$ of $P$ and consider the centers $b_{k}$ of its $k$-faces $(0 \leq k \leq n-1)$. In particular, the point $b_{0}$ coincides with a vertex $v \in P$. Each sequence $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ defines an (affine) hyperplane $H_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$, which bounds the half-space $H_{i}^{-}$in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ containing the point $b_{i}$. Consider the polyhedral cone $C=\cap_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{i}^{-}$with apex $b_{n}$ in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ whose edges pass through $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}$. It provides a fundamental domain for $\operatorname{Sym}(P)$, which is generated by the $n$ reflections in the hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n-1}$. It is not difficult to see that $R:=C \cap P$ is an $n$-orthoscheme, called the characteristic simplex of $P$. The regular polyhedron $P$ is of dihedral angle $2 \alpha$ if the hyperplane $H_{n}$ opposite to $b_{n}$ in the boundary of $R$ (and of $P$ ) and the hyperplane $H_{n-1}$ form the angle $\measuredangle\left(H_{n-1}, H_{n}\right)=\alpha$. For $k=1, \ldots, n-1$, let $p_{k}$ denote the number of $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ containing the face $F_{k-2}$ and being contained in the face $F_{k+1}$ where $F_{n}:=P$. Then the Schläfli symbol of $P$ is the ordered set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$. Reading a given Schläfli symbol in reversed order yields the Schläfli symbol of the regular polyhedron dual to $P$. Observe that the cone $C$ and the reflection group generating $\operatorname{Sym}(P)$ can be represented by the Coxeter graph $\Sigma_{C}$ as given in Figure 7. Furthermore, the graph $\Sigma_{C}$ relates to a finite Coxeter group (of type $A_{n}, B_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, F_{4}, H_{3}, H_{4}$, or $I_{2}(m)$; see [8, part I, Section 2]).

The vertex figure $P_{v}$ at $v$ of an arbitrary polyhedron $P$ is the intersection of $P$ with a sphere centered at $v$ of sufficiently small radius in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ (intersecting only the edges passing through $v$ ). Up to a normalisation, each vertex figure of an (ordinary) vertex $v$ in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$ is a spherical $(n-1)$-polyhedron. In the hyperbolic case, a vertex $q$ of


Figure 7: The Coxeter graph $\Sigma_{C}$ associated with the cone $C \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$.
a finite volume polyhedron $P$ may be on the boundary at infinity $\partial \mathcal{H}^{n}$, in which case its vertex figure-as intersection of $P$ with a suitable horosphere centered at $q$-turns into a Euclidean $(n-1)$-polyhedron. It is easy to see that each vertex figure $P_{v}$ of a regular polyhedron $P$ is itself a regular polyhedron and admits a barycentric decomposition into ( $n-1$ )-orthoschemes isometric to $R \cap P_{v}$. In this way, the Schläfli symbol $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$ can be interpreted as the union of the Schläfli symbol $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-2}\right\}$ of a facet with the Schläfli symbol $\left\{p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$ of a vertex figure of a regular polyhedron $P$. The graph $\Sigma_{C}$ is the Coxeter graph associated with the spherical vertex figure $R \cap P_{b_{n}}$. Finally and most importantly, a regular polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ of dihedral angle $2 \alpha$ can be entirely described by means of its characteristic simplex $R$ with graph $\Sigma_{n}\left(\frac{\pi}{p_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\pi}{p_{n-1}}, \alpha\right)$ according to Figure 1 (see [3, Sections 7.5-7.9]).

Examples are the Schläfli symbols $\{3, \ldots, 3\}$ and $\{4,3, \ldots, 3\}$, which describe (self-dual) regular simplices and regular hypercubes in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$, while the Schläfli symbol $\{3, \ldots, 3,4\}$ describes a regular orthoplex (or cross-polytope). Notice that these are the only regular polyhedra existing in every dimension. For these types of regular polyhedra, realised on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, Schläfli [ 25 , Sections 29 and 31] obtained volume identities for certain related orthoscheme families. More precisely, these are the orthoscheme family $U_{i}(\alpha), 0 \leq i \leq n+1$, associated with a regular $n$-simplex $S_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ and the orthoscheme family $V_{i}(\alpha), 0 \leq i \leq n$, associated with a regular orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ as given by the graphs in Figures 8 and 9 . For their realisation conditions, see Section 2.1 and [4, Remark (7.5), Remark (7.9)]. Furthermore, the orthoschemes $U_{0}(\alpha)=R\left(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ and $V_{0}(\alpha)=R\left(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$ are isometric to the orthoschemes $U_{n+1}(\alpha)$ and $V_{n}(\alpha)$, respectively, the latter being the corresponding characteristic simplices (see Section 2.1 and Figure 2).


Figure 8: Orthoschemes $U_{i}(\alpha), 0 \leq i \leq n+1$, tiling $S_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$.


Figure 9: Orthoschemes $V_{i}(\alpha), 0 \leq i \leq n$, tiling $O_{r e g}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$.
In [4, Theorems (7.4) and (7.8)], Debrunner provided an alternative proof for Schläfli's volume identities, in the cases of $S_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha)$ and $O_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha)$ in $\mathbb{X}^{n}$, by using only a dissection argument. More precisely, he deduced the following result (see also the proof of Theorem 3.6 below).

Theorem 3.1 (Debrunner)
(i) A regular simplex $S_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ of dihedral angle $2 \alpha$ admits for $0 \leq i \leq n a$ dissection into $i!(n+1-i)$ ! orthoschemes isometric to $U_{i}(\alpha)$, and each of these splits into $\binom{n+1}{i}$ orthoschemes isometric to $U_{0}(\alpha)$.
(ii) A regular orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}(2 \alpha) \subset \mathbb{X}^{n}$ of dihedral angle $2 \alpha$ admits for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ a dissection into $2^{n} i!(n-i)$ ! orthoschemes isometric to $V_{i}(\alpha)$, and each of these splits into $\binom{n}{i}$ orthoschemes isometric to $V_{0}(\alpha)$.

In the next section, we will extend these results to their ideal truncated or $k$-rectified hyperbolic counterparts.

### 3.2 Rectification of Regular Polyhedra

Let $P \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ be a regular Euclidean polyhedron with $f_{k}$ faces $F_{k}$ of dimension $k$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Consider a flag $F_{0}, \ldots, F_{n-1}, F_{n}$ of faces $F_{k}$ with centers $b_{k}$ as above. Then the orbit $M_{k}:=\operatorname{Sym}(P) b_{k}$ consists of the centers (or midpoints) of all $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ and has cardinality equal to $f_{k}$.

For $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the $k$-rectified regular polyhedron $r_{k} P \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ of $P$ is the (Euclidean) convex hull of the $f_{k}$ points in $M_{k}$. The polyhedron $r_{k} P$ arises from $P$ by shrinking all the $k$-dimensional faces of $P$ to their centers. Hence, $r_{0} P=P$, while $r_{1} P$ is the result of the truncation from $P$ of each vertex cone, denoted by cone $\left(v, P_{v}\right), v \in M_{0}$, by the affine hyperplane $E_{v}$ determined by the centers of the edges of $P$ ending at $v$. The facets of $r_{1} P$ consist of the polyhedra $E_{v} \cap P$ associated with the vertices $v \in M_{0}$, and the truncated facets of $P$. As a reference, see [3, Chapter VIII].

Observe that the polyhedron $r_{n-1} P$ coincides with the dual of the regular polyhedron $P$. Consequently, we will consider $k$-rectified regular polyhedra in $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, only.

A $k$-rectified regular polyhedron $r_{k} P \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ gives rise to an ideal hyperbolic $n$-polyhedron (of finite volume) in the following way. Interpret hyperbolic $n$-space $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ in the projective unit ball model $K^{n}$ of Klein-Beltrami. Next, consider the insphere $S$ of $r_{k} P \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ centered at $b_{n}$, which touches all points $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{f_{k}}$ of $M_{k}$. By normalising appropriately, the sphere $S$ can be identified with the unit boundary sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of $K^{n}$. Since $k \geq 1$, the vertices of $P$ are ultra-ideal points, lying outside of $S$ with respect to $K^{n}$, and $P \cap \mathbb{H}^{n}$ is a convex region bounded by the hyperbolic hyperplanes $\operatorname{Sym}(P) F_{n-1}$, which is of infinite volume.

Definition 3.2 Let $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ be an integer. The ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedron $\widehat{P} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$ associated with $P$ is the (hyperbolic) convex hull of the ideal points $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{f_{k}} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. We identify $\widehat{P}$ with $r_{k} P$ and write $\widehat{P}=r_{k} P$ accordingly.

An ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedron $\widehat{P} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$ is of finite volume and determined uniquely up to isometry by the Schläfli symbol of the underlying regular polyhedron $P$ and the degree of rectification $k$. Of particular interest will be the ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular simplex $r_{k} S_{\text {reg }}$ and the ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular orthoplex $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ (for $k=2$ and $n=5,7$, see Examples 2.3 and 2.5).

We will provide a complete description of their facial structure and dihedral angles (see Section 3.4, Remark 3.5).

### 3.3 Rectification and Polar Truncation

Our aim is to extend Debrunner's Theorem 3.1 to the families of ideal $k$-rectified regular simplices $r_{k} S_{\text {reg }}$ and orthoplexes $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$, forming the single categories of such polyhedra (up to duality) existing in all dimensions. To this end, we exploit the properties of the Klein-Beltrami model $K^{n}$ in real projective space $P^{n}$ in order to adjust Debrunner's proof appropriately. In fact, this approach will allow us to interpret an ideal rectified regular polyhedron as a regular polyhedron, which is suitably (polarly) truncated.

First, there is the well known relationship between points $X=[x] \in P^{n}$, represented by non-zero vectors $x \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$, and hyperplanes $\pi_{X}=\left\{[y] \in P^{n} \mid\langle x, y\rangle_{n, 1}=0\right\}$ relative to the quadric $Q_{n, 1}=\left\{[x] \in P^{n} \mid\langle x, x\rangle_{n, 1}=0\right\}$, which yields a bijection between the set of all points or poles $X=: \operatorname{pol}\left(\pi_{X}\right)$ and the set of all hyperplanes or polar hyperplanes $\pi_{X}=: \operatorname{pol}(X)$ of $P^{n}$. This duality principle for $P^{n}$ relative to $Q_{n, 1}$ is characterised by the following important properties (see [14, Section 1], for example).

Properties 3.3 (i) The polar hyperplane $\pi_{X}$ of $X=[x] \in P^{n}$ respectively intersects, touches, or avoids the quadric $Q_{n, 1}$ if and only if the vector $x$ is space-like, light-like, or time-like.
(ii) If two lines $g, h$ in $P^{2}$ intersect at $I=g \cap h$, then $\operatorname{pol}(I)$ is the line determined by $\operatorname{pol}(g)$ and $\operatorname{pol}(h)$.
(iii) If a hyperplane $\pi_{1}$ in $P^{n}$ contains the pole $\operatorname{pol}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ of the hyperplane $\pi_{2}$, then $\pi_{1} \perp \pi_{2}$ holds.

Consider an ideal hyperbolic 1-rectified regular polyhedron $\widehat{P}=r_{1} P \subset K^{n}$ with underlying regular polyhedron $P$ having the barycenter $b_{n}$. For each vertex $v \in P$, interpreted as a unit space-like vector in $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$, the polar hyperplane $\pi_{[x]}$ consists of all points $[y]$ with $y \in E_{v}$ where $E_{v}$ is the hyperplane determined by the (ideal) centers $m_{i}$ of the edges $v v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, of $P$ ending at $v(N \geq n)$. Indeed, $E_{v}$ is the hyperbolic vector subspace of $\mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$ generated by the (non-zero) light-like vectors $v+v_{i}$ representing the centers $m_{i}$, up to normalisation $(1 \leq i \leq N)$. For a vector $y=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left(v+v_{i}\right) \in E_{v}$, one gets $\langle y, v\rangle_{n, 1}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left\{1+\left\langle v_{i}, v\right\rangle_{n, 1}\right\}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left\{1-\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{n, 1}\|v\|_{n, 1}\right\}=0$ since $v, v_{i}$ lie on opposite sides of the light-like line generated by $m_{i}$ (see [23, Theorem 3.2.9, Theorem 3.2.10], for example).

As a consequence (see Property (iii) above), the hyperbolic hyperplane $E_{v}$ intersects orthogonally all those hyperplanes, bounding $P$ or bounding a characteristic simplex $R=C \cap P$ for a flag $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{0}, \ldots, F_{n-1}\right\}$ of $P$, which contain the vertex $v=F_{0} \in P$ (see Section 3.1). Write $R=\cap_{i=0}^{n} H_{i}^{-}$, where $H_{0}$ is the hyperplane opposite to $v=b_{0}$, as usually. Since $\widehat{P}=r_{1} P$ is 1-rectified, the hyperplanes $H_{0}$ and $E_{v}$ are (hyperbolic) parallel (intersecting at $\left.b_{1} \in \partial K^{n}\right)$ with $\measuredangle\left(H_{0}, E_{v}\right)=0$, while $\measuredangle\left(H_{i}, E_{v}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For later purpose, it is convenient to write $H_{-1}:=E_{v}$.

Next, consider all vertices $v=: v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f_{0}}$ of $P$. For $1 \leq i \leq f_{0}$, define (affine) rays $\rho_{i}$ through $b_{n}$ and $v_{i}$, parametrised by $t \geq 0$ such that $b_{n}=\rho_{i}(0)$ and $v_{i}=\rho_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)$
$\Sigma\left(\widehat{U}_{0}\right):$
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Figure 10: The graphs of $\widehat{U}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ and $\widehat{V}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$.
for some $t_{1}>0$. Moreover, intersecting hyperplanes $E_{i}\left(t_{1}\right):=E_{v_{i}}=v_{i}^{\perp}$ meet at the points of $M_{1}=\operatorname{Sym}(P) b_{1}$, being the centers of the edges $v_{i} v_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Recall that the (hyperbolic) convex hull of the points of $M_{1}=\operatorname{Sym}(P) b_{1}$ equals $r_{1} P=\widehat{P}=: \widehat{P}\left(t_{1}\right)$. In this context, for $k>1$, the ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedron $r_{k} P=\widehat{P}$ can be interpreted as $\widehat{P}\left(t_{k}\right)$ for some (unique) $t_{k}>t_{k-1}$. Indeed, by Property (ii) (describing a way to construct polar hyperplanes), for $t \lambda t_{k}$, the points $\rho_{i}(t)$ go uniformly away from the polyhedron $P$, while the polar hyperplanes represented by $E_{i}(t)$ tend inwards of $P$ until they meet points of $M_{k}=\operatorname{Sym}(P) b_{k}$ at time $t=t_{k}$. Observe that $E_{1}\left(t_{k}\right)$ intersects the hyperplane $H_{0}$ bounding $R$ under a certain non-zero dihedral angle, while $E_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=E_{v}$ and $H_{0}$ are (hyperbolic) parallel. The dihedral angle will be made explicit in the cases $r_{k} S_{r e g}$ and $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ (see (3.1)).

### 3.4 Napier Cycles Associated with $r_{k} S_{r e g}$ and $r_{k} O_{r e g}$

Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. The above considerations restricted to the special cases $r_{k} S_{r e g}$ and $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ motivate us to look at the simply-truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{U}_{0}=$ $\widehat{U}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}^{n}=\arccos \sqrt{\frac{n-k}{2(n-k-1)}}$, and $\widehat{V}_{0}=\widehat{V}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}^{n}=\arccos \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k-1}}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$, whose graphs are given by Figure 10 and carry the additional weights $c_{n+1}^{0}\left(\widehat{U}_{0}\right)$ and $c_{n+1}^{0}\left(\widehat{V}_{0}\right)$ (denoted by $c_{n+1}^{0}$, for short). The polyhedra $\widehat{U}_{0}$ and $\widehat{V}_{0}$ are bounded by $n+2$ hyperbolic hyperplanes $H_{i}$ whose intersection behavior is indicated in Figure 10, by associating with $H_{i}$ the node labeled by $i, 0 \leq i \leq n+1$. The underlying orthoschemes $U_{0}$ and $V_{0}$, bounded by the hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n}$, respectively, are such that the vertex opposite to the hyperplane $H_{n-k}$ is an ideal point. Furthermore, the hyperplane $H_{n+1}$ corresponds to the polar hyperplane (denoted earlier by $H_{-1}$ ), and it lies opposite to the ultra-ideal vertex opposite to $H_{n}$. The vertex figure of $U_{0}$ of the vertex opposite to $H_{0}$ is of type $A_{n-1}=[3, \ldots, 3]$, while the vertex figure of $V_{0}$ of the vertex opposite to $H_{0}$ is of type $B_{n-1}=[4,3, \ldots, 3]$. These facts allow us to deduce the explicit formulas for $\alpha_{k}^{n}$. Indeed, for $\widehat{U}_{0}$, for example, since the vertex opposite of $H_{n-k}$ is an ideal point, having a Euclidean vertex neighborhood, the leading principal minor of order $n-k$ of the Gram determinant associated with $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n+1}$ must vanish. For example, in the case of $\widehat{U}_{0}$, and based on (2.3), the requirement $\delta\left(-\cos \alpha_{k}^{n},-\frac{1}{2}, \ldots,-\frac{1}{2}\right)=0$ yields the expression for $\alpha_{k}^{n}$ as mentioned above (see also the proof of Lemma 3.4).

Lemma 3.4 Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. Then, $c_{n+1}^{0}\left(\widehat{U}_{0}\right)=c_{n+1}^{0}\left(\widehat{V}_{0}\right)=-\sqrt{\frac{k+1}{2 k}}$.

Proof The polyhedra $\widehat{U}_{0}$ and $\widehat{V}_{0}$ are bounded by $n+2$ hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n+1}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$, characterised by (space-like) normal vectors $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{E}^{n, 1}$, which are linearly dependent in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Hence, their Gram matrices $G_{U}=G\left(\widehat{U}_{0}\right)$ and $G_{V}=G\left(\widehat{V}_{0}\right)$ have vanishing determinant. For $3 \leq m \leq n$, consider the principal submatrix $G_{U}^{m}$ of $G_{U}$ formed by the vectors $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{m}$. By some well known recursion formulas for the determinant of such matrices (see [3, Sections 7.74-7.76], for example), we easily deduce that

$$
\operatorname{det} G_{U}^{m}=\operatorname{det} A_{m}-\cos ^{2} \alpha_{n}^{k} \operatorname{det} A_{m-1}=\frac{1}{2^{m}}\left(1-\frac{m}{n-k-1}\right),
$$

since $\operatorname{det} A_{l}=(l+1) / 2^{l}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\left(c_{n+1}^{0}\left(\widehat{U}_{0}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{det} G_{U}^{n}}{\operatorname{det} G_{U}^{n-1}}=\frac{k+1}{2 k} \leq 1,
$$

which implies the assertion. In a similar vein, one identifies the determinant of the submatrix $G_{V}^{m}$ of $G_{V}$ formed by the vectors $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{m}$ with

$$
\operatorname{det} G_{V}^{m}=\operatorname{det} B_{m}-\cos ^{2} \alpha_{n}^{k} \operatorname{det} A_{m-1}=\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\left(1-\frac{m}{n-k-1}\right)
$$

since $\operatorname{det} B_{l}=1 / 2^{l-1}$. Hence, we deduce that

$$
\left(c_{n+1}^{0}\left(\widehat{V}_{0}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{det} G_{V}^{n}}{\operatorname{det} G_{V}^{n-1}}=\frac{k+1}{2 k} \leq 1,
$$

which finishes the proof.
Remark 3.5 Let $P$ be a regular simplex $S_{\text {reg }}$ or a regular orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}$ in Euclidean $n$-space. The ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular polyhedron $\widehat{P}=r_{k} P \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$ has facets (faces of codimension 1) of two sorts: (truncated) facets belonging to $P$ and polar facets, that is, facets contained in the polar hyperplanes $\pi_{v}$ of the ultra-ideal vertices $v$ of $P(k \geq 1)$. Furthermore, $r_{k} P$ has dihedral angles $2 \alpha_{k}^{n}$ formed by intersecting facets of $P$, dihedral angles $\frac{\pi}{2}$ between each polar facet in a $\pi_{v}$ and the facets of $P$ containing the pole $v$, as well as dihedral angles $2 \gamma_{k}^{n}$ attached to the intersection of a polar facet in $\pi_{v}$ with a facet of $P$ not containing $v$. By Lemma 3.4, the angle $\left.\left.2 \gamma_{k}^{n} \in\right] 0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ can be identified as follows:

$$
\gamma_{k}^{n}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } k=1  \tag{3.1}\\ \arccos \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{2 k}} & \text { for } k>1\end{cases}
$$

Each of the polyhedra $\widehat{U}_{0}$ and $\widehat{V}_{0}$ is part of a Napier cycle of type 2 (see Section 2.2). Furthermore, for $i \geq 1$, we can form doubly-truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{U}_{i}=\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ and $\widehat{V}_{i}=\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ with graphs and additional weights as indicated in Figure 11 and in Figure 12, respectively, each defining a Napier cycle of type 3.

The additional weights $c_{h}^{i}\left(\widehat{U}_{i}\right)$ and $c_{h}^{i}\left(\widehat{V}_{i}\right)$, where $h=n+1, n+2,0$, as indicated in Figures 11 and 12 are determined by formula (2.4) upon passing from angular weights $\omega$ such as $\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\alpha_{k}^{n}$ to $c=-\cos \omega$ (see (2.2)).


Figure 11: The doubly-truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$.


Figure 12: The doubly-truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

### 3.5 Dissecting Ideal Rectified Regular Simplices and Orthoplexes

With the above preparations, we can formulate and prove our first main result as stated in Theorem 1.1. Denote by $\delta_{i k} \in\{0,1\}$ the Kronecker-Delta function defined for elements $i, k$ in an index set $I$.

Theorem 3.6 Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ be integers. For a regular polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ with Schläfli symbol $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$, the ideal $k$-rectified regular n-polyhedron $\widehat{P}=r_{k} P \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$ admits the following dissections.
(i) If $P$ is a simplex $S_{\text {reg }}$ with $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{n-1}=3$, then $r_{k} S_{\text {reg }}$ admits for $0 \leq i \leq n$ a dissection into $i!(n+1-i)!$ of $\left(2-\delta_{0 i}\right)$-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, and each of these splits into $\binom{n+1}{i}$ simply-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{U}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}^{n}=\arccos \sqrt{\frac{n-k}{2(n-k-1)}}$.
(ii) If $P$ is an orthoplex $O_{\text {reg }}$ with $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{n-2}=3$ and $p_{n-1}=4$, then $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ admits for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ a dissection into $2^{n} i!(n-i)!$ of $\left(2-\delta_{0 i}\right)$-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, and each of these splits into $\binom{n}{i}$ simply-truncated orthoschemes isometric to $\widehat{V}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{k}^{n}=\arccos \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k-1}}$.

Proof We follow roughly the strategy of Debrunner's proof of Theorem 3.1 and recapitulate the most important ingredients.
Ad (i): Suppose that $P=:\left\langle v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rangle$ is a Euclidean regular simplex with center $b_{n}$ and with vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}$ so that $\widehat{P}=r_{k} S_{\text {reg }} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}$. We interpret $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ in the projective model $K^{n}$. Since $k \geq 1$, each vertex $v_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n$, of $P$ is outside of the quadric $Q_{n, 1}$ and therefore pole of its polar hyperplane represented by the hyperbolic hyperplane $E_{i}$, say. In order to describe the dissections of $P$, we adopt Debrunner's elegant notation as follows. Let $[i, k]:=\{i, i+1, \ldots, k-1, k\}$ for integers $0 \leq i \leq k \leq n$. For a set $I \subset[0, n]$, denote by $F_{I}$ the face of $P$ with vertices $v_{i}, i \in I$, and let $F_{\varnothing}:=\varnothing$ and $F_{[0, n]}:=P$. Each $F_{I}$ is a regular simplex with (bary-)center $b\left(F_{I}\right)=: B_{I}$. In particular, for $0 \leq i \leq n$, one has $B_{\{i\}}=B_{[i, i]}=v_{i}$, as well as $B_{[0, n]}=b_{n}$. The Euclidean
$n$-simplex $U_{0}:=\left\langle B_{[0, n]} \ldots B_{[n, n]}\right\rangle$ is a characteristic simplex of $P$ and fundamental domain for the action of $\operatorname{Sym}(P) \cong S_{n+1}$. Therefore, $P$ dissects into $(n+1)$ ! isometric copies of $U_{0}$. Furthermore, $U_{0}$ is an orthoscheme whose vertex figure at $B_{[0, n]}=b_{n}$ is a spherical $(n-1)$-orthoscheme of type $A_{n-1}$. Among the vertices of $U_{0}$ not in $K^{n}$, we see that $B_{[l, n]}, \ldots, B_{[n, n]}$ are ultra-ideal points precisely for $l=n-k+1, \ldots, n$, while the vertex $B_{[n-k, n]}$ is an ideal point on $\partial K^{n}$.

Next, consider the Euclidean $n$-simplices

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i}:=\left\langle B_{[0,0]} \ldots B_{[0, i-1]} B_{[i, n]} \ldots B_{[n, n]}\right\rangle, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each simplex $U_{i}$ arises from the following construction. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, consider the partition of $[0, n]$ by $I=[0, i-1]$ and $J=[i, n]$. Dissect both, the regular simplex ( $i-1$ )-face $F_{I}$ into its $i$ ! characteristic simplices $\sigma\left(R_{I}\right)=: R_{I}^{\sigma}\left(\sigma \in S_{i}\right)$, and the regular simplex $(n+1-i)$-face $F_{J}$ into its $(n+1-i)$ ! characteristic simplices $\tau\left(R_{J}\right)=: R_{I}^{\tau}$ $\left(\tau \in S_{n+1-i}\right)$. Since $P=S_{\text {reg }}$ is the join $F_{I} \circ F_{J}, P$ splits into $i!(n+1-i)!$ simplices $R_{I}^{\sigma} \circ R_{I}^{\tau}$, which are permuted by the elements of $S_{n+1}$ that stabilise $F_{I}$ (and $F_{J}$ ). One of these simplices is $U_{i}$ for a suitable ordering of the vertices. By Theorem 3.1, we know that each $U_{i}$ is an orthoscheme admitting a dissection into $\binom{n+1}{i}$ copies of $U_{0}$.

Let us pass to the $k$-rectified polyhedron $\widehat{P}$ associated with $P=S_{r e g}$. The hyperbolic polar hyperplanes $E_{0}, \ldots, E_{n}$ associated with the ultra-ideal vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}$ induce a (simple or double) truncation of $U_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n)$ as given by (3.2) and its isometric copies in the decomposition of $P$ as described above, making a bridge to the polyhedra $\widehat{U}_{i}=\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$. Indeed, we will show that

$$
\widehat{U}_{i}=\widehat{P} \cap U_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq i \leq n,
$$

which will finish the proof of (i). We consider the following two cases.
(a) Let $i=0$, and consider the characteristic simplex $U_{0}=\left\langle B_{[0, n]} \ldots B_{[n, n]}\right\rangle$ with vertex $B_{[n, n]}=v_{n}$ of $P$ that is simply-truncated by $E_{0}$. The hyperplane $E_{0}$ meets the ideal vertex $B_{[n-k, n]}$ of $U_{0}$ at infinity, and the vertex $B_{[0, n]}$, being the in-center of $P=S_{\text {reg }}$, is of type $A_{n-1}$. For $0 \leq l \leq n$, denote by $H_{n-l}$ the hyperplane bounding $U_{0}$, which is opposite to $B_{[l, n]}$, and write $H_{n+1}:=E_{0}$. By Property (iii), $H_{n+1}$ is orthogonal to the hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n-1}$, while $\measuredangle\left(H_{j}, H_{j+1}\right)=\frac{\pi}{3}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Since $B_{[n-k, n]}$ is ideal, we get $\measuredangle\left(H_{0}, H_{1}\right)=\alpha_{k}^{n}$ as above. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.4 and its proof, $\measuredangle\left(H_{n}, H_{n+1}\right)=\gamma_{k}^{n}$ according to (3.1). Hence, the truncated orthoscheme $U_{0} \cap \widehat{P}$ coincides with the polyhedron $\widehat{U}_{0}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ given by Figure 10 .

Notice that the dihedral angle $2 \alpha$ formed by two facets of $P=S_{\text {reg }}$ is identical to the dihedral angle formed by the corresponding pair of (truncated) facets of $\widehat{P}$, and this angle is therefore equal to $2 \alpha_{k}^{n}$. Hence, the orthoscheme $U_{0}$ can be described by the graph $\Sigma\left(U_{0}\right)=\Sigma_{n}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ according to Figure 2. Furthermore, the orthoschemes $U_{i}(\alpha)$ arising in the dissection of $P=S_{\text {reg }}$ according to Debrunner's Theorem 3.1(i) are given by $U_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ (see Figure 8).
(b) Let $i>0$. It remains to show that the polyhedra $U_{i} \cap \widehat{P}$, where $U_{i}=U_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$, coincide with the polyhedra $\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ as given by Figure 11. To this end, observe that each of the orthoschemes $U_{i}, i>0$, shares the vertices $B_{[0,0]}=v_{0}$ and $B_{[n, n]}=v_{n}$ with $P=S_{\text {reg }}$ (see (3.2)). Both vertices of the $U_{i}$ are truncated by the hyperbolic polar hyperplanes $H_{n+1}=E_{0}$ and $H_{n+2}$ := $E_{n}$ of $P$. Fix such a $U_{i}$, and denote
by $e_{l}, 0 \leq l \leq n+2$, the unit normal space-like vector with $H_{l}=e_{l}^{\perp}$ directed outwards of $U_{i}$. It follows that $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n+2}$ (indices modulo $n+3$ ) form a Napier cycle $\mathcal{N}$ of type 3 (see Section 2.2). For $1 \leq l \leq n$, the weights $c_{l}=\left\langle e_{l-1}, e_{l}\right\rangle_{n, 1}$ are given by $-\cos \omega_{l}$ where $\omega_{l} \in\left\{\alpha_{k}^{n}, 2 \alpha_{k}^{n}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right\}$ denote the angular weights of the orthoscheme $U_{i}=U_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ according to Figure 8. The remaining weights $c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, c_{0}$ of the cycle $\mathcal{N}$ are given by the formulas (2.4). This proves that the hyperplanes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n+2}$ bounding $U_{i} \cap \widehat{P}$ are described by the cyclic graph of Figure 11, and that, finally, $U_{i} \cap \widehat{P}$ coincides with $\widehat{U}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$.

Ad (ii): The proof is similar to (i). Let us describe the dissection procedure of an ideal hyperbolic $k$-rectified regular orthoplex $r_{k} O_{\text {reg }}$ and the respective appearance of the polyhedra $\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)$ as claimed. Consider a Euclidean regular $n$-orthoplex $O_{r e g}$, and denote by $v_{i}, v_{-i}$ the $n$ pairs of vertices of $O_{\text {reg }}$ such that the segments $\left\langle v_{i} v_{-i}\right\rangle, 1 \leq i \leq n$, meet orthogonally in their common midpoint (and in-center) $z$. The $n$ symmetry hyperplanes generated by all vertices except one pair induce a dissection of the polyhedron $O_{\text {reg }}$ into $2^{n}$ simplices, all isometric to $S:=\left\langle z v_{1} \ldots v_{n}\right\rangle$. The facet $\left\langle v_{1} \ldots v_{n}\right\rangle$ of $O_{\text {reg }}\left(\right.$ and of $S$ ) is a regular $(n-1)$-simplex whose faces $\left\langle v_{1} \ldots v_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle v_{i+1} \ldots v_{n}\right\rangle$ can be dissected barycentrically into $i$ ! and $(n-i)$ ! characteristic orthoschemes $R$ and $R^{\prime}$, respectively. Form the join $V_{i}:=R \circ\langle z\rangle \circ R^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and denote by $B_{I}$ the barycenter of the face $F_{I}$ with vertices $v_{i}, i \in I$, of $O_{\text {reg }}$, as above. In this picture, for $i=0$, the polyhedron $O_{\text {reg }}$ is cut into its $2^{n} n!$ characteristic orthoschemes all isometric to $V_{0}=\left\langle z B_{[1, n]} \ldots B_{[n, n]}\right\rangle \subset S$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the simplex $V_{i}=\left\langle B_{[1, n]} \ldots B_{[1, i]} z B_{[i+1, n]} \ldots B_{[n, n]}\right\rangle$ is one of the $2^{n} i!(n-i)!$ pairwise isometric simplices dissecting $O_{\text {reg }}$. Again, it can be shown that each such simplex is an orthoscheme. For details, see [4, pp. 150-151]. As in the proof of (i), one easily verifies that the truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{V}_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{n}\right)=r_{k} O_{r e g} \cap V_{i}$ provide the decomposition of $r_{k} O_{r e g}$ as claimed.

As a first application of Theorem 3.6, let us consider the ideal birectified regular orthoplex $r_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {reg }}$ in hyperbolic 7-space. We can prove the following result.

Corollary 3.7 The volume of the ideal birectified regular orthoplex $r_{2} O_{r e g} \subset \mathbb{H}^{7}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{7}\left(r_{2} O_{\text {reg }}\right)=\frac{153}{16} \sqrt{3} L(4,-3) \simeq 27.3241
$$

where $L(s, D)=\sum_{r \geq 1}\left(\frac{D}{r}\right) r^{-s}$ is the Dirichlet L-series defined by the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{D}{r}\right)$.

Proof By Remark 3.5, the ideal birectified regular orthoplex $r_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {reg }}$ has dihedral angles $2 \alpha_{2}^{7}=\frac{2 \pi}{3}, 2 \gamma_{2}^{7}=\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and part (ii) of the above theorem implies that $r_{2} O_{\text {reg }}$ can be cut into $2^{7} 7!=645,120$ polyhedra isometric to the (truncated) characteristic simplex $\widehat{V}_{0}=\left[3,4,3^{5}, 6\right]$ with Coxeter graph given as follows.


In [7, Section 2.2], we showed that the (arithmetic) hyperbolic Coxeter group $\Gamma_{0}$ with graph $\Sigma\left(\widehat{V}_{0}\right)$ is commensurable to the Coxeter group $\Gamma_{1}$ with graph

by identifying $\Gamma_{1}$ as a subgroup of index 3 in the group $\Gamma_{0}$. The group $\Gamma_{1}$ itself is the (maximal) reflection subgroup of the group of units of the quadratic form $f_{3}^{7}$ whose covolume has been determined by Ratcliffe and Tschantz (see Remark 2.7). More precisely, according to [24, Table 1], one has that

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{5}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=\frac{51 \sqrt{3}}{2^{15} \cdot 5 \cdot 7} L(4,-3) \simeq 7.240232999 \cdot 10^{-5} .
$$

As a consequence, $\operatorname{vol}_{7}\left(r_{2} O_{\text {reg }}\right)=\frac{2^{7} 7!}{3} \cdot \frac{51 \sqrt{3}}{2^{15} \cdot 5 \cdot 7} L(4,-3)=\frac{153}{16} \sqrt{3} L(4,-3)$ as asserted.

## 4 Quaternionic (pseudo-)modular Groups and their Covolumes

In the sequel, we interpret the orientation-preserving isometries of hyperbolic 4- and 5 -spaces by means of certain quaternionic $2 \times 2$ matrices. In this way, and following Johnson [11], we can relate the quaternionic (pseudo)-modular groups to certain arithmetic hyperbolic Coxeter groups. By combining our previous results and applying them to the ideal birectified 6 -cell, we will be able to prove our second main result about the covolume of the hybrid modular group as given by Theorem 4.1.

### 4.1 Quaternionic $2 \times 2$ Matrices and Hyperbolic Isometries

Consider hyperbolic $n$-space in the upper half space $U^{n}=\mathbb{E}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$. In this model, the group $\operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{n}\right)$ of orientation preserving or direct hyperbolic isometries is isomorphic to the group $M^{+}\left(U^{n}\right)$ of direct Möbius transformations of $\mathbb{E}^{n}$, which leave $U^{n}$ invariant. By Poincaré extension, the latter group is isomorphic to the group of direct Möbius transformations of the extended ground space $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}^{n-1}=\mathbb{E}^{n-1} \cup\{\infty\}$. As in the classical case of $\operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the group $\operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{n+1}\right), n \geq 1$, can be identified with a projective group of $2 \times 2$ matrices according to Vahlen, Maass, and Ahlfors (see [1,2]). To this end, interpret the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as the set of Clifford vectors $x=x_{0}+x_{1} i_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1} i_{n-1} \in C_{n}$ of the Clifford algebra $C_{n}$, which is the associative real algebra generated by $n-1$ elements $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ subject to the relations $i_{k} i_{l}=-i_{l} i_{k}(k \neq l)$ and $i_{k}^{2}=-1$. A typical element $a \in C_{n}$ can written in the form $a=\sum_{I} a_{I} I, a_{I} \in \mathbb{R}$, where $I$ runs through all products $i_{k_{1}} \cdots i_{k_{r}}$ with $0 \leq k_{1}<\cdots<k_{r}<n$, where we include the empty product in the form $i_{k_{0}}=i_{0}:=1$. We call $S(a):=a_{0}$ the scalar part of $a$. Accordingly, $C_{n}$ is a real vector space of dimension $2^{n-1}$ that can be equipped with a Euclidean norm defined by $|a|^{2}=\sum_{I} a_{I}^{2}$. In particular, $C_{1}=\mathbb{R}, C_{2}=\mathbb{C}$ and $C_{3}=\mathbb{H}$.

On $C_{n}$, there are three important involutions. The mapping $a \mapsto a^{*}$ is defined by sending each $I=i_{k_{1}} \cdots i_{k_{r}}$ to $I^{*}:=i_{k_{r}} \cdots i_{k_{1}}$, while $a \mapsto a^{\prime}$ is given by replacing each factor $i_{k}$ by $-i_{k}$. The conjugation $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ is the composition $\bar{a}:=a^{\prime *}$. Obviously, Clifford vectors $x \in C_{n}$ satisfy $x=x^{*}$, and a non-zero Clifford vector $x$ is invertible
with inverse $x^{-1}=x^{\prime} /|x|^{2}$. The non-zero Clifford vectors form a multiplicative group, which is termed the Clifford group $G_{n}$. A Clifford matrix is an element of the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{SL}\left(2, C_{n}\right):= \\
& \qquad\left\{\left.T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c, d \in G_{n} \cup\{0\} ; a b^{*}, c d^{*}, c^{*} a, d^{*} b \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; a d^{*}-b c^{*}=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantity $a d^{*}-b c^{*}$ is called the pseudo-determinant of $T$ and is such that the set $S L\left(2, C_{n}\right)$ becomes a group under matrix multiplication (see [1, p. 221]). Its associated projective group

$$
\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, C_{n}\right)=S L\left(2, C_{n}\right) /\left\{\lambda I_{2} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{*}\right\}
$$

acts bijectively on $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}^{n}$ by fractional linear transformations $T(x)=(a x+b)(c x+d)^{-1}$, $T(0)=b d^{-1}, T(\infty)=a c^{-1}$, and this action appropriately extended to $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}^{n+1}$ preserves $U^{n+1}$. As a consequence, the group $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, C_{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{n+1}\right)$.

In particular, in the quaternionic case, we have that $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H}) \cong \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{4}\right)$ (for some geometric properties of its discrete subgroups, see [19]). There is another matrix group over the quaternions closely related to hyperbolic isometries. Following Wilker [28], consider a $2 \times 2$ matrix $M$ with coefficients in the quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H}$ given by

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{H} .
$$

Since quaternions can be interpreted by means of complex $2 \times 2$ matrices, $M$ can be identified with a block matrix $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Mat}(4, \mathbb{C})$ whose (ordinary) determinant is a nonnegative real number that can be written according to

$$
\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}=|a d|^{2}+|b c|^{2}-2 S(a \bar{c} d \bar{b})=\left|a d-a c a^{-1} b\right|^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad a \neq 0 .
$$

Based on this, the Dieudonné determinant of $M$ is defined by

$$
\Delta=\Delta(M):=+\sqrt{|a d|^{2}+|b c|^{2}-2 S(a \bar{c} d \bar{b})}=\left|a d-a c a^{-1} b\right|,
$$

and $\Delta$ satisfies all required properties of a determinant function. Moreover, the set

$$
S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H})=\left\{\left.T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Mat}(2, \mathbb{H}) \right\rvert\, \Delta(T)=1\right\},
$$

is a group whose elements act on $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ by fractional linear transformations. Finally, it can be shown that the projective analogue

$$
P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H})=S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H}) /\left\{ \pm I_{2}\right\}
$$

is isomorphic to the group Isom ${ }^{+}\left(U^{5}\right)$ (for some geometric properties of its discrete subgroups, see [20]).

### 4.2 Basic Systems of Quaternionic Integers

Consider the normed real associative algebra $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions $q=q_{0}+q_{1} i+q_{2} j+$ $q_{3} k \in \mathbb{H}$ where $i=i_{1}, j=i_{2}$, and $k=i j$, as usual. The norm $N(q)$ and the trace $T(q)$ of $q$ are given by

$$
N(q)=q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+q_{3}^{2}, \quad T(q)=2 S(q)=2 q_{0},
$$

which appear naturally in the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2}-T(q) q+N(q)=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quaternions of norm 1 are called units and form a group that is isomorphic to the special unitary group $S U(2)$. According to Johnson-Weiss [13], [11, Chapter 15], a basic system of elements in $\mathbb{H}$ is a set $\mathcal{S}$ such that
(a) each element in $\mathcal{S}$ is a (quadratic) algebraic integer;
(b) $\mathcal{S}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{H}$; the elements of norm 1 in $\mathcal{S}$ form a subgroup of the group of unit quaternions;
(c) in the real vector space $\mathbb{H}$, the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are the points of a four-dimensional lattice spanned by the units.
By means of (4.1), condition (a) holds for a quaternion $q$ if $S(q)=q_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $N(q) \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [13, Theorem 4.1], there are precisely three basic systems of integral quaternions which can be described-briefly-as follows.

The first basic system is the ring $\mathbb{H}$ am $=\mathbb{Z}[i, j]$ whose four units $1, i, j$, and $k=i j$ span the lattice of Hamilton integers. The ring $\mathbb{H}$ am can be regarded as a quaternionic analogue of the ring of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

The second basic system is the ring $\mathbb{H u r}=\mathbb{Z}[u, v]$ of Hurwitz integers where the quaternions $u, v$ are defined by $u=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} i-\frac{1}{2} j+\frac{1}{2} k$ and $v=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} i-\frac{1}{2} j+\frac{1}{2} k$. One verifies that each Hurwitz integer is an integral combination of $1, u, v, w$ where $u, v, w$ satisfy the relations

$$
u-u^{2}=v-v^{2}=w-w^{2}=u v w=1 .
$$

The ring $\mathbb{H}$ ur has 24 units consisting of the 8 Hamilton units $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k$ together with the 16 units of the form $\pm \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} i \pm \frac{1}{2} j \pm \frac{1}{2} k$. The ring $\mathbb{H}$ ur contains $\mathbb{H}$ am as a subring and can be viewed as a quaternionic analogue of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega], \omega=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} i$, of Eisenstein integers since $(u v)^{-1}=\omega$.

The third basic system is the ring $\mathbb{H} y b=\mathbb{Z}[\omega, j]$ of hybrid integers where $\omega=$ $-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} i$ and $j$ satisfy the relations

$$
\omega+\omega^{2}=j^{2}=(\omega j)^{2}=\left(\omega^{2} j\right)^{2}=-1 .
$$

There are 12 hybrid units, given by $1, \omega, \omega^{2}, j, \omega j, \omega^{2} j$ and their negatives.

### 4.3 Covolumes of Some Quaternionic (Pseudo-)modular Groups

Consider the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H}) \cong \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{4}\right)$ represented by quaternionic Clifford matrices and restrict the coefficient ring from $\mathbb{H}$ to one of the basic systems $\mathcal{S}$ of quadratic integers in $\mathbb{H}$ as described in Section 4.2. Each of the three groups $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathcal{S})$ is a particularly nice arithmetic discrete group of direct isometries acting on hyperbolic 4 -space with finite covolume. In [13] (see also [11, Section 15.2]), Johnson and Weiss studied various properties of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathcal{S})$, which they call a quaternionic pseudo-modular group (and denote it there by $P S^{*} L_{2}(\mathcal{S})$ referring to the underlying unit pseudo-determinant of Ahlfors). They show that each group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathcal{S})$ can be identified with a finite index subgroup of a certain hyperbolic Coxeter group, and the identification is made explicit in terms of suitable generators.

In this way, the Hamilton pseudo-modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})=\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[i, j])$ turns out to be isomorphic to an index 12 subgroup of the hyperbolic Coxeter simplex group $[3,4,3,4]$ (see [13, p. 173]). By the Reduction Formula (2.5) of Section 2.4, the volume of the Coxeter orthoscheme $[3,4,3,4]$ can be computed to be $\pi^{2} / 864$ so that the following result holds:

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{4}(P S L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am}))=\frac{\pi^{2}}{72}
$$

We refer to [21] for further and more algebraic, arithmetic, and geometric details about the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[i, j])$.

The Hurwitz pseudo-modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H}$ ur $)=P S L(2, \mathbb{Z}[u, v])$ can be identified with a semidirect product of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})$ with a cyclic group of order 3 generated by an element transforming Hamilton integers into Hurwitz integers (see [21, p. 751] and [13, p. 174]). As a consequence, one obtains that

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{4}(\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{ur}))=\frac{\pi^{2}}{24} .
$$

The hybrid pseudo-modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})=\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega, j])$ is isomorphic to a certain index 4 subgroup of the Coxeter pyramid group [ $6,3,3,3, \infty$ ] of covolume $\pi^{2} / 540$ (see Section 2.4), which in turn relates to the symmetry group of the ideal rectified 5-cell $r_{1} S_{\text {reg }}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ (see Example 2.2). It follows that

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{4}(P S L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb}))=\frac{\pi^{2}}{135}
$$

Let us pass to the case of higher modular groups in $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H}) \cong \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(U^{5}\right)$. In particular, the modular groups $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})$ and $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H}$ ur) are arithmetic discrete groups of finite covolume that are intimately related to one another. In fact, by work of Johnson and Weiss [13, Section 7] (see also [11, Section 15.3]), it is known that the Hamilton modular group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})$ is isomorphic to a certain subgroup of index 12 in the Coxeter simplex group [3, 4, 3, 3, 4]. Furthermore, the Hurwitz modular group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H}$ ur) is closely related to the Coxeter simplex group [3, 4, 3, 3, 3] and contains the group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})$ as a subgroup of index 30 (see [13, Section 9] and [11, Section 15.4]). By means of our volume expression (2.10) for [3, 4, 3, 3, 4], we are able to derive the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{covol}_{5}\left(P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})\right)=\frac{7}{384} \zeta(3),  \tag{4.2}\\
& \operatorname{covol}_{5}\left(P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{ur})\right)=\frac{7}{11,520} \zeta(3) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.4 The Ideal Birectified 6-cell and the Covolume of the Hybrid Modular Group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})$

Much less has been known about the hybrid modular group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb}) \subset$ Isom ${ }^{+}\left(U^{5}\right)$ with coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega, j], \omega=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{3})$. Recently, in [10] and [11, Section 15.5], Johnson analysed the group $S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})$ in detail and determined
the generators as follows:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \omega
\end{array}\right), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & j
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $A^{2}=-I$ and that $M^{3}=N^{4}=I$. This analysis enabled him to relate the group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})$ to the hyperbolic Coxeter prism group [6,3,3,3,3,6]. Based on this work, we are able to prove the following result (see Theorem 1.2).

Theorem 4.1 For the hybrid modular group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})=P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega, j])$,

$$
\operatorname{covol}_{5}\left(P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})\right)=\frac{13}{180} \zeta(3) .
$$

Proof By [11, Section 15.5], the group $P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} y b)=P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega, j])$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of a certain commutator subgroup of index 8 in $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ and the cyclic group of order 4 generated by the element $N \in S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega, j])$ above. This implies that $\operatorname{covol}_{5}\left(P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})\right)=32$. $\operatorname{covol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,3,6])$. It remains to show that $\operatorname{covol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,3,6])=\frac{13}{5,760} \zeta(3)$ as already announced in Remark 2.6.

The Coxeter polyhedron $[6,3,3,3,3,6$ ] is associated with the ideal birectified regular 6-cell $r_{2} S_{\text {reg }} \subset \mathbb{H}^{5}$ of dihedral angles $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$. In fact, it is the truncated characteristic orthoscheme $\widehat{U}_{0}$ of the regular 6-cell of dihedral angle $\frac{\pi}{3}$ with ultra-ideal vertices all of whose triangles are replaced by an ideal point (see Section 3.2). Consider the truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{U}_{0}$ and $\widehat{U}_{i}$ with graphs and weights given according to Figures 10 and 11 (see also Lemma 3.4 and formula (2.4)). In fact, the distinguished weights for $\widehat{U}_{0}$ are $\alpha_{2}^{5}=\frac{\pi}{6}=\gamma_{2}^{5}$ in view of (3.1). By Theorem 3.6(i), the polyhedron $r_{2} S_{\text {reg }}$ admits a dissection into 6! simply-truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{U}_{0}$ isometric to $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ and, by taking $i=3$, a dissection into (3! $)^{2}$ doubly-truncated orthoschemes $\widehat{U}_{3}$. Each of the polyhedra $\widehat{U}_{3}$ can be dissected into $\binom{6}{3}$ copies of $\widehat{U}_{0}$. These dissection relations provide the volume identity

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{5}([6,3,3,3,3,6])=\operatorname{vol}_{5}\left(\widehat{U}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{20} \operatorname{vol}_{5}\left(\widehat{U}_{3}\right)
$$

Finally, it remains to identify the polyhedron $\widehat{U}_{3}$, as given by the graph in Figure 11 for $i=3$, with the Coxeter polyhedron $\left[(3,6)^{[4]}\right]$ of the cyclic graph $\Omega\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ whose volume is given by $\frac{13}{288} \zeta(3)$ according to Proposition 2.8 and (2.13). This can be done in two ways.

The cyclic graph of $\widehat{U}_{3}$ has symbol $\left[\left(3,6,3,6,3, c_{5}^{3}, c_{6}^{3}, c_{0}^{3}\right)\right]$ where the squares of the ingredients $c_{l}^{3}, l=5,6,0$, are computable by formula (2.4). Without computation, the values $c_{5}^{3}, c_{6}^{3}, c_{0}^{3}$ can be determined directly by using the Napier cycle property (see Section 2.2) that the deletion of two non-adjacent nodes among $0, \ldots, 7$, representing vectors of the Napier cycle $\mathcal{N}$, defines two Lorentz-orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{E}^{5,1}$. Hence, the deletion of the nodes 2,6 and 3,7 , respectively, yields $c_{0}^{3}=c_{5}^{3}=-\cos \frac{\pi}{6}$, while the deletion of the pair 0,4 shows that $c_{5}^{3}=-\cos \frac{\pi}{3}$. In fact, all corresponding subgraphs (after deletion) are products of Euclidean type $[3,6] \times[3,6]$. As a consequence, the polyhedron $\widehat{U}_{3}$ is isometric to $\left[(3,6)^{[4]}\right]$, and the assertion follows.

Remark 4.2 In [7], it was shown that the (arithmetic) Coxeter groups with Coxeter symbols $[3,4,3,3,4]$ and $[6,3,3,3,3,6]$ are incommensurable. Although the quotient of their covolumes is a rational number by (4.2) and Theorem 4.1, there is no (orientable) hyperbolic 5-manifold covering both, the modular Hamilton (or Hurwitz) orbifold $\mathbb{H}^{5} / P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{am})$ and the modular hybrid orbifold $\mathbb{H}^{5} / P S_{\Delta} L(2, \mathbb{H} \mathrm{yb})$.
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